7800091

HHE UNIVERD SHAVES OF AVIERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE; PRESENTS SHALL, COME;

The Curators of the University of Missouri

Withereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF ACCURACE YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC D OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXECUTED IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT OF THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT.

NITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS SP.

THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

FESCUE

'Missouri-96'

In Testimony Entereot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington this 12th day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and seventy-nine

Allest:

Smark Valle State Office
Plant Variety Protection Office

Stain Division Agricultural Marketing Service Secretary of Agriculture

		TURE			OMB NO. 40-H	3822
:_	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT	OF AGRICULTURE	Į	No certificate for plan	t variety protection	form
	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT AGRICULTURAL MARKE LIVESTOCK, POULTRY, GRAIN APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIET	N & SEED DIVISION	EDTIFICATE	be issued diffused (5 U	.S.C. 5531.	
	LIVESTOCK, POULTMY	Y PROTECTION C	ENTITION	has been received to	L USE ONLY	
	APPLICATION FOR PLANT VALUE					4
	INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse.		[7800091		
	TEMPORANT DESIGN	Missouri-96		7000		A.M.
	VANIETY		ONAME	FILING DATE 18	TIME : 5	P.M.
	I-96	3. GENUS AND SPECIE	S.MAINT		DATE	A. manor of
	2. KIND NAME	Festuca arundi	nacea	FEE RECEIVED	n-24-	18
	- -	Festuca with	TION	500.00	3 50.1	19
	Tall Fescue	5. DATE OF DETERM	INATION	\$ 250.00	7-94	34
	4. FAMILY NAME (BOTANICAL)	-076		\	8. TELEPHONE	AREA
:		7. ADDRESS (Street a		City State, and ZIP	8. TELEPHON	NUMBER
	Gramineae	- ADDRESS (Street a	nd No. or R.F.D. No.	., 011, 011		-7 Lla 1
	6. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	(coae)	_ : +∪ Hall		314-882	2701
	6. NAME OF APPLICANTS! The Curators of the University Of Missouri	Code) 225 Univer	Missouri 652	211		
	The Curators of of Missouri	Columbia,	M122001	TED, GIVE STATE AN	D 11. DATE OF	N
	01 1		10. IF INCORPORA	RPORATION	1839	
	9. IF THE NAMED APPLICANT IS NOT A ORGANIZATION: (Corporation, partner of the public corporation per Sect of the public corporation per Sect of the per Sect o	rekin association etc.)	DATEOUNI			-5511/5
	9. ORGANIZATION: (Corporation, Sect	10h 1/2.020	MISSOUL	THIS APP	LICATION AND R	ECEIAL
·	A public corporation per	DERRESENTA	TIVE(S), IF ANY,	O SERVE III	· Mo 652]	1
	9. IF THE NAMED APPLICANT IS NOT A ORGANIZATION: (Corporation, partner of the public corporation per Sect R.S. Mo. 12. NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF A NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF A NAME AND PAPERS:	PPLICANT REPRESENT	Mi	ssouri, Columb	1a, 190.	
	12. NAME AND MALLING	s Agranamy, Univ	versity of m	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	nepartment (of Agronous				
	David A. Steper, -				Protection	Act.)
	13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATT	ACHMENT SUBMITTED.	'See Sect	ion 52 of the Plant V^a	mety Protection	·
	David A. Steper, Department of the David A. Steper,	Breeding History of the	Variety (See See.			
	13A. Exhibit A, Origin and	Diccorre				
•	13B. Exhibit B, Novelty St	atement.		s Plant Wariety Pr	otection Office.)	•
	13B. Exhibit B, Noveley	. C.L. Varie	ty (Request form)	from Plant Valley		
	13A. Exhibit B, Novelty St 13C. Exhibit C, Objective	Description of the varia	/ (_
	M 13C. Exhibit C, Cojo	1 Description of the Var	riety.		- CLASS C	F CERTIFIED
	13C. Exhibit C, Objection 13D. Exhibit D, Additions 13D. Exhibit D, Additions 14a. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY 14a. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) (If "Yes,	Il Description	of col D B	Y VARIETY NAME ON	LY AS A CLASS -	•
	1021	THAT SEED OF THIS V	ARIETY BE SULD	NO	ONE OF	PRODUC-
	14a. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIF SEED? (See Section 83(a). (If "Yes,	" answer 14B and 14C belo	w.) (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	TO 14B, HOW MANY (SENERATIONS	• • • •
	SEED? (See Section 83(a). (If "Yes,	THIS VARIETY	BE 14c. IF "YES,			rified
	THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIA	LEDATIONS?	1	TION HEGISTE	·	as "Ves " oive
	14b. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFICATION OF GENERAL PROPERTY OF GENERAL PROPERTY OF GENERAL PROPERTY OF COUNTRIES and dates.)	•	K 1700	T COUNTRIES? TY	ES X NO	(If "Yes," give
	X YES LINO	THON OF THIS	VARIETY IN OTHE	B COOMITTEE LE		
	THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FO	R PROTECTION OF		•		
	15a. DID THE APPLICANT dates.)		•			
		•		171 NO (If "Yes," give name	of countries
			- COUNTRIES?	TYES MINO!	ig. 144, 0	
	15b. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED	THIS VARIETY IN OTH	EH COOM	_		
A COLONIA	15b. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANT					
despirate participation of the	Mises					
STATE OF THE STATE	16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) AGR				FOC IN THE OI	FICIAL
A SHEET AND			- WOULER ITH	EIR) NAME(S) AND AD	DHE22 III	
	16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) AGR JOURNAL? 17. The applicant(s) declare(s) the distribution request in	FE TO THE PUBLICATION	N OF HIS/HEIT	- · Lod	with the applica	rion and will
·	16. DOES THE APPLICANTIS AGY	ES NO	rie seed of this var	iety will be furnished	With and I'm	
partect	JOOTT	Lat a mable sample of		ie appucaului	1	./.V +hat the
-	17. The applicant(s) declare(s)	accordance with such re	guiations 11-rent	oduced novel plant va	riety, and benev	isions of Sect
a de la constante de la consta	16. JOURNAL? [X] 1 17. The applicant(s) declare(s) the replenished upon request in The undersigned applicant(s) variety is distinct, uniform, the Plant Variety Act	is (are) the owner(s) o	f this sexually repr	s entitled to protection	n under the pro-	_
nestado	The undersigned applicant(s	1 ble as required in	Section 41, and		1.4	00 - 111-00
- Maria	variety is distinct, uniform,	and sener	•	line protection and	tresult in penalty	esof Missi
256277520	variety is distinct, uniform, 42 of the Plant Variety Act Applicant(s) is (are) inform	1 .1 foloo representat	ion herein can jeo	Cultators of the		44
2000	Applicant(s) is (are) inform	ed that laise represent	/ ~	ALLOTT-	wany	5 -
	Whhman		WOOD A	(SIGNATURE O. BOWLING, Warning)	TOP Preside	nt for
	0 1.10 1028	_ 	Dale Dale	e O. Bowling, V	ont.	
	(DATE)		-3° 363/3 PT 111			
	\boldsymbol{v}		200	(SIGNATU	RE OF APPLICAN	1)
	· ·		-11.128	.	•	1
	(DATE)		1134			.
	FORM GR-470 (1-78)					•
	FOUND OF					

Exhibit A

Origin and Breeding History

Missouri-96 tall fescue was developed by scientists at the Missouri Agriculture Experiment Station. Missouri-96 was developed out of a broad-based seed source received from Dr. J. Jader-Hecart, Institut National De La Recherchi Agronomique in France. Selection was practiced for soft, fine leaves from clones that were replicated in simulated swards. Desirable clones similar in maturity were intermated and the seed increased without progeny testing. During the developmental stages, this forage grass was tested as experimental I-96.



Higgins

College of Agriculture

Department of Agronomy

210 Waters Columbia, Missouri 65201 Telephone (314) 882-2006 (314) 882-2801

December 14, 1978

Mr. Joseph J. Higgins United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Marketing Service National Agricultural Library Building Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Dear Mr. Higgins:

This letter concerns Tall Fescue application number 7800091, 'Missouri-96'.

In Exhibit A I failed to indicate whether any varients have been observed. No variants have been observed. Our seed increase plots have also been monitored closely with the discovery of no variants.

As far as the novelty statement is concerned, 'Missouri-96' tall fescue most closely resembles 'Kentucky-31' (in adaptation, maturity, plant height, growth habit, and etc.) except for leaf width. The leaf width of 'Missouri-96' is less (statistically significant) than Kentucky-31 tall fescue.

I hope the above will serve as the novelty statement. If there are further inquiries, please contact me.

Sincerely,

David A. Sleper

Associate Professor

mbt

2



College of Agriculture

Department of Agronomy

210 Waters Columbia, Missouri 65201 Telephone (314) 882-2006 (314) 882-2801

January 23, 1979

Mr. Joseph J. Higgins USDA, Agric. Marketing Service National Agric. Library Building Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Dear Mr. Higgins:

I am writing this in response to your questions raised in the January 4, 1979, letter.

In answer to your first inquiry, we have determined that Missouri-96 is stable and uniform by carefully isolating the breeders seed increase block at the Southwest Missouri Research Center, at Mt. Vernon, Mo. The breeders seed was carefully harvested and processed at the University of Missouri facilities at Columbia. This seed was then planted in a foundation seed field which was isolated approximately one mile from any other tall fescue seed sources and was carefully walked for the purpose of observing any variants. No variants have been found in Missouri-96. It is remarkably stable as has been indicated by our various clippings and forage quality evaluation trials that have been conducted around the state in Missouri. I have no further statement to add to exhibit A.

In exhibit C, we have provided information for reaction to crown rust. At the bottom of Table 3, it should read that this was evaluated on a scale of 1-5. It was not evaluated on a scale of 0-5 and I apologize for this typographical error. I thank you for discovering it for me.

As far as your last inquiry is concerned, we have no additional data that shows how Missouri-96 differs from 'Alta', 'Kenwell', and 'Fawn' for maturity. Fawn under our conditions is ten days earlier in maturity than Missouri-96.

I sincerely hope that this will answer your questions and we can proceed rapidly with getting Missouri-96 protected.

Sincerely,

David A. Sleper Associate Professor

mbt

7800091

Exhibit B

Novelty Statement

Missouri-96 is unique since it is one of the few forage grasses ever evaluated for animal performance before release. The improvement of Missouri-96 over Kentucky-31 in terms of animal performance is marked. Mean average daily gains were greater than 30% over Kentucky-31 in 1974, 1975, and 1976 as evaluated at the Southwest Research Center, Mount Vernon, Missouri.

Missouri-96 can be distinguished from other tall fescue varieties by its narrower leaf blade width and its improved resistance to crown rust. Germinating seedlings of Missouri-96 have a darker red coleoptile color on the average than germinating Kentucky-31 seedlings.

Lead blade widths of 5 tall fescue varieties.

Variety	Blade Width mm	
Missouri-96	6.10	
Kenmont	6.90	
Kentucky-31	6.92	
Fawn	7.10	
Alta	7.12	
Goar	7.22	•
Kenhy	7.62	
LSD (.05)	0.08	
LSD (.01)	0.11	

FORM GR-470-37 (3-76)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE GRAIN DIVISION HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20782

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

FESCUE (Festuca spp.)	
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) The Curators of the University of M Department of Agronomy	TI SAPUTIANE OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION
University of Missouri, Columbia	Missouri 96
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code) College of Agriculture 135 Mumford	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER
Columbia, Missouri 65211	7800091
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this variety in the boxes below number is either 99 or less or 9 or less. Characteristics described, including numerical measurement Ranges may be given also. Measured data should be for SPACED PLANTS. Royal Horticultural Sc mine plant colors; designate system used: Describe I All questions need not be answered, however, completeness should be striven for in order to establi	r. Place a zero in first box (e.g. 0 8 9 or 0 9) when is, should represent those that are typical for the variety. Society or any recognized color fan may be used to deter-ocation of test area.
1. SPECIES: (With comparison varieties for use below - use varieties within species of application	
1 2 = F. PRATENSIS (MEADOW) 21 = ENSIGN 22 = TRADER	4 = KENTUCKY 31
3 = F. RUBRA SSP. COMMUTATA (CHEWINGS) 31 = CASCADE 32 = HIGHLIGHT 4 = F. RUBRA SSP. RUBRA (RED) 41 = BOREAL 42 = PENNLAWN 43 = DAWS	33 = JAMESTOWN SON
5 = F. <u>OVINA</u> VAR. <u>OVINA</u> (SHEEP) 6 = F. <u>LONGIFOLIA</u> (HARD) 61 = DURAR 62 = BILJART (C-26) 63 = SCAL 7 = OTHER (SPECIFY) F.	DIS
2, CYTOLOGY	
4 2 2n CHROMOSOME NUMBER	
3. ADAPTATION: (O = Not Tested; 1 = Not Adapted; 2 = Adapted)	
0 NORTHEAST 0 SOUTHEAST 2 NORTH CENTRAL 0	PACIFIC N.W. 2 OTHER Southern Corn
4. MATURITY: (50% Headed) Give Test Area Columbia and Mount Vernon,	Missouri
MATURITY SAME AS	•
5. PLANT HEIGHT: (At maturity to top of panicle)	
mm HEIGHT	
mm SHORTER THAN	
HEIGHT SAME AS	ARIETY
mm TALLER THAN	
6. GROWTH HABIT (Mature)	
1 = ERECT (KENTUCKY 31) 2 = SEMI-ERECT (HIGHLIGHT) 3 = PROSTRA	ATE
7. RHIZOMES	
mm LENGTH mm WIDTH	7
0 = ABSENT 1 = WEAKLY CREEPING (DAWSON) 2 = STRONGLY CREEPIN	G (BOREAL) 3 = OTHER
8. LEAF BLADE: 1 = LIGHT GREEN (GOLFROOD) 2 = MODERATELY LIGHT GREEN (F	HIGHLIGHT) 3 = MEDIUM GREEN (JAMESTOWN,
- I make the	

KENTUCKY 31)

DRM GR-470-37 (PAGE 2)	
B. LEAF BLADE:	7800091
0 ANTHOCYANIN: 0 = ABSENT 1 = PRESENT HAIRS (BAS	1 = SMOOTH 2 MARGINS: 2 = SEMI-ROUGH 3 = ROUGH
mm LENGTH (FIRST LEAF BELOW FLAG LEAF)	mm WIDTH
mm SHORTER THAN	01 mm NARROWER THAN 14
LENGTH SAME AS	N WIDTH SAME AS . COMPARISON VARIETY
mm LONGER THAN	mm WIDER THAN
9. LEAF SHEATH (Plant Base):	
COLOR: 1 = WHITE (HIGHLIGHT) 2 = RED	1 AURICLE HAIRINESS: 0 = ABSENT 1 = PRESENT
IO. PANICLE (Mature plant)	
NUMBER OF PANICLES PER PLANT (FIRST YEAR OF	PRODUCTION - FALL OR SPRING PLANTING SPECIFY
mm LENGTH	GRAMS OF SEED PER PANICLE
mm SHORTER THAN	GRAMS LESS SEED THAN
LENGTH SAME AS	ON WEIGHT SAME AS . COMPARISON VARIETY
mm LONGER THAN	GRAMS MORE SEED THAN
SHAPE: 1 = NARROW-TAPERING 2 = EGG SHAPE	3 = OBLONG 4 = OTHER (SPECIFY)
TYPE: 1 = OPEN 2 = INTERMEDIATE 3 = COMPAG	ст
HABIT: 1 = ERECT 2 = NODDING	
BRANCHES: 1 = SMOOTH 2 = ROUGH	
COLOR (At 50% flowering): 1 = YELLOWISH GREEN 2 = GR	REEN 3 = BLUISH GREEN 4 = PURPLISH 5 = REDDISH
11. PALEA:	
1 HAIRS (ON KEELS): 0 = ABSENT 1 = SHORT (OLDS)	2 = LONG (RAINIER)
12. LEMMA:	
HAIRS: 0 = ABSENT 1 = PRESENT	2 TEXTURE: 1 = SMOOTH 2 = ROUGH
mm LEMMA LENGTH	mm LEMMA WIDTH
mm SHORTER THAN	mm NARROWER THAN
LENGTH SAME AS 14 COMPARISO VARIETY	width same as
mm LONGER THAN	mm WIDER THAN
AWNS: 0 = ABSENT 1 = PRESENT	8
mm AWN LENGTH	V

FORM GR-470-37 (PAGE	3)					
12. LEMMA:	1		:	•	7800091	
mm SHOR	TER THAN				700003 <u>T</u>	
LENGTH S	SAME AS	4	COMPAR VARIETY			
	ER THAN					
13, SEED:						
8 0 0 mm LE	NGTH			90 мм МІРТН		
mm SH	ORTER THAN			mm, NARROW	ER THAN)
LENGT	H SAME AS 1		MPARISO RIETY	N WIDTH SAME	AS 1 4	COMPARISON VARIETY
mm LO	NGER THAN			mm WIDER TH	AN)
1 8 1 7 GR	AMS PER 1000 SEED				,	
GR	AMS LESS THAN			•		
WE	IGHT SAME AS · ·		MPARISO RIETY	N .	·	
GR	AMS MORE THAN	丁)				
14. DISEASE, INSECT	T, AND NEMATODE (O =	Not Tested,	1 = Suscep	tible, 2 = Resistant):		
0 HELMINTHOSPO	RIUM VAGANS	0 н.	OROKIN	IANUM	0 н. рістуої	DES
0 RHIZOCTONIA S	OLANI	0 ER	YSIPHE G	RAMINIS	0 USTILAGO	STRIIFORMIS
0 FUSARIUM NIVA	LE	0 <u>F</u> <u>F</u>	ROSEUM		0 TYPHULA I	OTANA
0 PUCCINIA GRAM	INIS	0 P. S	TRIIFOR	MIS	0 P. POAE-NE	MORALIS
2 P. CORONATA		O PYT	CHIOW OF	TIMUM	0 CORTICIUM	FUSCIFORME
O SCLEROTINIA HO	DMEOCARPA	INS	ECT		NEMATODE	
OTHER		 	HER		OTHER	
15. GIVE VARIETY C	R VARIETIES THAT MC	OST CLOSEL	Y RESEME	BLE THE APPLICATION V	ARIETY. For the follow	ing characteristics
1 = Application var	iety is less than compariso	n variety		ed, D.H., one of the following 2 = Same as	ng numbers:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ter, greater, darker, more d	isease resistar	nt, etc.			
CHARACTER	Kentucky-31	Υ	D.R.	CHARACTER	VARIETY	D. R.
RHIZOME LENGTH		 	2	GROWTH HABIT	Kentucky-31	2
LEAF WIDTH	Kentucky-31		 	LEAF COLOR	Kentucky-31	2
PANICLE COLOR	Kentucky-31 Kentucky-31		3	PANICLE SHAPE	Kentucky-31	3
WINTER COLOR SHADE TOLERANCE	Kentucky-31		2	_COLD INJURY _HEAT	Kentucky-31 Kentucky-31	
DROUGHT	Kentucky-31	··· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	1		VEUCUCKA-31	
ZUZZZII.	1		 '	DISEASE*	<u> </u>	

^{*}Specify each disease evaluated.

16. ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION: (Use additional sheets as required)

Describe all characteristics that cannot be adequately described in the form above. Comparative varieties should be used as may be appropriate, such as for disease. Append all comparative trial and evaluation data, including measured characters, environmental, and disease tests.

Missouri-96 is a 13 clone synthetic. The parental materials were selected for soft, fine leaves out of a broad-based seed source received from France.

Table 1 contains animal performance data collected for three years at the Southwest Research Center, Mount Vernon, Missouri, for Missouri-96 and four other tall fescue varieties. The grazing period started in mid-April and concluded in mid-October for each of the three years. Each year heifers that weighed initially between 500-600 lbs. were used to evaluate the five varieties. All pastures were strip-grazed with weekly adjustments made in pasture size so that the amount of forage available for all animals from each variety was equal.

Table 1. Average daily gains for three years of heifers grazing five tall fescue varieties at the Southwest Research Center, Mount Vernon, Missouri.

Variety	Av	erage Daily Gains (1bs.)	Vonn 2
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Missouri-96 Kenhy Kenmont Fawn Kentucky-31	1.16 1.11 0.77 0.94 0.83	1.18 1.33 0.96 0.83 0.85	1.38 1.36 1.26 1.13 1.04

^aBased on shrunk heifer weights

Means for year 1, 2, and 3 are significantly different at probably 0.05, 0.10, and 0.20, respectively.

Heifers consistently gained faster when grazing Missouri-96 and Kenhy than they did with any other tall fescue variety in the test. Data collected in this trial indicated that for the entire grazing season, Missouri-96 could be expected to result in about 1/3 lb. average daily gain more than Kentucky-31 which is the most prevalent variety of tall fescue presently grown. A mid-summer slump occurred for all varieties tested, but this slump was not as severe with Missouri-96 and Kenhy as compared to Kentucky-31.

Voluntary intake of hay from five tall fescue varieties consumed by Holstein heifers is given in Table 2. Hay was harvested in the vegetative stage and placed into storage without rain damage. Each variety was fed to two Holstein heifers for a 21-day period. The experiment was repeated a total of three times with different heifers on each forage each time. Missouri-96 had the highest forage intake for two out of the three trials. In the third trial, Kenhy was consumed in the largest amounts, but Missouri-96 was equal to or higher than Kentucky-31, Kenmont, and Fawn. The higher intake values for Missouri-96 may partially explain its improved animal performance.

Table 2. Voluntary intake of hay from five tall fescue varieties by Holstein Heifers^a

	Trial I	Percent of B	ody Weight Trial III	Average
Variety Missouri-96 Kenhy Kenmont Fawn Kentucky-31	2.44 2.26 2.30 2.28 2.42	2.73 2.31 2.43 2.37 2.25	2.44 2.52 2.36 2.29 2.45	2.54 2.36 2.36 2.31 2.37

^aIntake values are 10% moisture basis.

7800091

16 Additional Description (Contd.)

Crown rust (<u>Puccinia coronata</u>) is a disease of tall fescue that is most prevalent in the fall. During certain years an infestation of crown rust can be serious and it is expected that this can reduce animal performance. Missouri-96 is more resistant to crown rust damage than either Kentucky-31 and Kenhy (Table 3).

Table 3. Crown rust reaction for three varieties of tall fescue.

Variety	Reaction ^a	
Missouri-96	1.00	
Kenhy	3.75	
Kentucky-31	2.95	

^aEvaluated on a scale of \emptyset to 5 where 1 is the most resistant and 5 the most susceptible.